

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box, 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/684,668	10/14/2003	Steve Mitchell	KLYCD-05008US1	3396
7590 07/16/2004			EXAMINER	
Sheldon R. Meyer			SNOW, BRUCE EDWARD	
FLIESLER DU	IBB MEYER & LOVE	JOY LLP		
Fourth Floor			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Four Embarcadero Center			3738	
San Francisco, CA 94111-4156			DATE MAILED: 07/1//200	

DATE MAILED: 07/16/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Ywl
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/684,668	MITCHELL, STEVE
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Bruce E Snow	3738
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, and If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some and any reply received by the Office later than three months after the meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a n. a reply within the statutory minimum of thir eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI tatute, cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed  rty (30) days will be considered timely.  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _	·	
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐	This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allo	owance except for formal mat	ters, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice und	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.[	). 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-36 is/are pending in the applica	tion.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	drawn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-36</u> are subject to restriction and	/or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exar		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐		
Applicant may not request that any objection to		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	• •	
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	eign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) All b) Some * c) None of:		
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority document</li> </ol>	nents have been received.	
<ol><li>Certified copies of the priority document</li></ol>	nents have been received in A	Application No
3. Copies of the certified copies of the	•	received in this National Stage
application from the International Bu		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies not	received.
Attachment(s)	,, <del>—</del> , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	O
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> </ol>		Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE	3/08) 5) Notice of	Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) [] Other:	·

Application/Control Number: 10/684,668

Art Unit: 3738

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-23, 26-36, drawn to an implant, classified in class 623, subclass 17.14.
- II. Claims 24-25, drawn to method implant a device, classified in class 128, subclass 898.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product wherein the implant requires first and second sockets. Additionally, the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product replacing a knee joint.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 10/684,668

Art Unit: 3738

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

## **Election of Species**

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Applicant drawings and specification ambiguously describe varying patentably distinct species of the implant. It is noted the specification identifies various crossbar forms such as the "+" or the "T". Applicant is required to identify a single ultimate embodiment with a first plate, second plate, and crossbar. Applicant must identify the drawings in which the ultimate embodiment is shown and the corresponding description in the specification. Applicant is reminded that all claimed suggest matter must be shown in the drawings.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, none are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims

Art Unit: 3738

readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

A telephone call was made to Sheldon Meyer on 7/13/04 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bruce E Snow whose telephone number is (703) 308-3255. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Corrine McDermott can be reached on (703)308-2111. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

bes

BRUCE SNOW PRIMARY EXAMINER